

Urban Forestry Council Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Pursuant to Ordinance 0017-06 and Public Works Code Section 810, the UFC has developed these criteria for evaluating potential landmark trees in San Francisco. When evaluating or considering potential landmark trees, please consider the context of the tree within its site location. For example, a tree on PUC land may not have the same community importance that a street or park tree would. Use comment sections, as appropriate, to explain or support evaluation. Attach sheets if more space is needed.

Evaluator's name: Dan Kida

Date of evaluation: May 23, 2014

Scientific name: Cupressocyparis × leylandii

Common name: Leyland Cypress

Street address: 38 Newman St., SF CA

Cross streets: Holly Park

Rarity ___ Yes ___ Partially X No

Rarity: ___ Rare ___ Uncommon X Common ___ Other

Unusual species in San Francisco or other geographic regions.

Comment: These trees are well adapted to Bay area and are used quite a bit for screening or ornament

Physical Attributes ___ Yes ___ Partially X No

Size: ___ Large X Medium ___ Small

Notable size compared to other trees of the same species in San Francisco.

Comment: good size for backyard tree but sie in line with what you would expect from this species

Age: ___ Yes X No

Significantly advanced age for the species.

Comment: _____

Distinguished form: ___ Yes X No

Tree is an example of good form for its species, has a majestic quality or otherwise unique structure.

Describe: Nice looking tree but not an unusually good appearance for this species. Quite a bit of ivy hides some of the form of this tree.

Tree condition: X Good ___ Poor ___ Hazard

Consider overall tree health and structure, and whether or not tree poses a hazard

Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Describe: Tree appears healthy but large amount of duff at base could create rot. Access behind shed made it difficult to perform thorough assessment of trunk Lots of ivy along trunk.

Historical Yes Partially No

Historical Association: Yes None apparent
Related to a historic or cultural building, site, street, person, event, etc.

Describe nature of appreciation: could not find any reference to tree

Profiled in a publication or other media: Yes Unknown

Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. **Attach documentation** if appropriate.
Describe coverage: No references could be found

Environmental Yes Partially No

Prominent landscape feature: Yes No

A striking and outstanding natural feature.

Describe, attach photo if possible: Can see from side streets but not a prominent feature, considering Holly Park up street

Low tree density: Low Moderate High

Tree exists in a neighborhood with very few trees.

Describe: Park is up street but low density without park trees

Interdependent group of trees: Yes No

This tree in an integral member of a group of trees and removing it may have an adverse impact on adjacent trees.

Describe: _____

Visible or Accessible from public right-of-way: Yes No

High visibility and/or accessibility from public property.

Describe: _____

High traffic area: Yes No

Tree is located in an area that has a high volume of vehicle, pedestrian or bike traffic and has a potential traffic calming effect.

Describe: _____

Important wildlife habitat: Yes No

Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Species has a known relationship with a particular local wildlife species or it provides food, shelter, or nesting to specific known wildlife individuals. Likely that birds or other animals use this tree but I could not see any activity while on site

Erosion control: Yes No

Tree prevents soil erosion.

Describe: _____

Wind or sound barrier: Yes No

Tree reduces wind speed or mitigates undesirable noise.

Describe: Not significant but does provide shade for backyard and screen from adjacent homes

Cultural Yes Partially No

Neighborhood appreciation: Yes None apparent

Multiple indicators such as letters of support, petition, outdoor gatherings, celebrations adjacent or related to tree, etc. Attach documentation:

Describe: As of 6/23/14, have not received any other show of support for tree outside of home owner

Cultural appreciation: Yes None apparent

Particular value to certain cultural or ethnic groups in the city.

Describe nature of appreciation: _____

Planting contributes to neighborhood character: Yes No

Tree contributes significantly to, or represents, neighborhood aesthetic.

Describe contribution: can definitely see from uphill and other vantage points in neighborhood but not main landscape feature in neighborhood

Profiled in a publication or other media: Yes Unknown

Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. **Attach documentation** if appropriate.

Describe coverage: _____

Prominent landscape feature: Yes No

A striking and outstanding natural feature.

Describe, attach photo if possible: _____

**Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria**

4

Additional comments

Very nice tree(s) but typically of species in Northern California.