

# Urban Forestry Council Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Pursuant to Ordinance 0017-06 and Public Works Code Section 810, the UFC has developed these criteria for evaluating potential landmark trees in San Francisco. When evaluating or considering potential landmark trees, please consider the context of the tree within its site location. For example, a tree on PUC land may not have the same community importance that a street or park tree would. Use comment sections, as appropriate, to explain or support evaluation. Attach sheets if more space is needed.

Evaluator's name: Damon Spigelman

Date of evaluation: 9-14-18

Scientific name: Metrosideros Robusta

Common name: Northern Rata

Street address: 1776 Vallejo Street

Cross streets: Gough

**Rarity**     Yes     Partially     No

**Rarity:**  Rare     Uncommon     Common     Other

Unusual species in San Francisco or other geographic regions.

Comment: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Physical Attributes**     Yes     Partially     No

**Size:**     Large     Medium     Small

Notable size compared to other trees of the same species in San Francisco.

Comment: This is the largest tree visible from the sidewalk on this street.  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Age:**  Yes     No

Significantly advanced age for the species.

Comment:  advanced age for this variety in an urban setting.  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Urban Forestry Council**  
**Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria**

**Distinguished form:**  Yes  No

Tree is an example of good form for its species, has a majestic quality or otherwise unique structure.

Describe: \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Tree condition:**  Good  Poor  Hazard

Consider overall tree health and structure, and whether or not tree poses a hazard

Describe:  Tree has good structure and canopy is full with minimal dead wood present, observed decay in lateral over sidewalk. I would advise having integrity of stem evaluated for safety.



**Historical**     Yes     Partially     No

**Historical Association:**         Yes         None apparent

Related to a historic or cultural building, site, street, person, event, etc.

Describe nature of appreciation:  Tree is planted in a registered Historical building site, this home dated back to 1875 with the owner being a San Francisco mayor.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ephraim\\_Willard\\_Burr](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ephraim_Willard_Burr)     <https://noehill.com/sf/landmarks/sf031.asp>

---

**Profiled in a publication or other media:**     Yes     Unknown

Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. **Attach documentation** if appropriate.

Describe coverage: \_\_\_\_\_

<http://www.sftrees.com/landmark-trees/>

---

**Environmental**     Yes     Partially     No

**Prominent landscape feature:**     Yes         No

A striking and outstanding natural feature.

Describe, attach photo if possible:  Tree adds character to the home by framing in the western portion of the residence. \_\_\_\_\_



**Urban Forestry Council**  
**Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria**

**Low tree density:**     \_\_\_Low     \_\_X\_\_Moderate     \_\_\_High

Tree exists in a neighborhood with very few trees.

Describe: Street has multiple varieties of trees planted but not extremally dense. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Interdependent group of trees:**     \_\_\_Yes     \_\_X\_\_No

This tree in an integral member of a group of trees and removing it may have an adverse impact on adjacent trees.

Describe: \_\_Single tree in this location, it does not support any other existing trees in the vicinity. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Visible or Accessible from public right-of-way:**     \_X\_\_Yes     \_\_\_No

High visibility and/or accessibility from public property.

Describe: \_\_\_Tree is located adjacent to the sidewalk and the street is a high foot traffic location \_\_\_\_\_

---

**High traffic area:**     \_X\_\_Yes     \_\_\_No

Tree is located in an area that has a high volume of vehicle, pedestrian or bike traffic and has a potential traffic calming effect.

Describe: \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Important wildlife habitat:**     \_X\_\_Yes     \_\_\_No

Species has a known relationship with a particular local wildlife species or it provides food, shelter, or nesting to specific known wildlife individuals. No visible nesting visible, but this is not to say that this tree does not have the potential for habitiat. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Erosion control:**     \_\_\_Yes     \_X\_\_No

Tree prevents soil erosion.

Describe: \_\_Tree is located between a concreted driveway that offers no erosion properties. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Wind or sound barrier:**     \_X\_\_Yes     \_\_\_No

Tree reduces wind speed or mitigates undesirable noise.

Describe: The tree canopy and placement provides wind and sound barrier/. \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

**Cultural**  Yes  Partially  No

**Neighborhood appreciation:**  Yes  None apparent

Multiple indicators such as letters of support, petition, outdoor gatherings, celebrations adjacent or related to tree, etc. Attach documentation:

Describe: \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Cultural appreciation:**  Yes  None apparent

Particular value to certain cultural or ethnic groups in the city.

Describe nature of appreciation: \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Planting contributes to neighborhood character:**  Yes  No

Tree contributes significantly to, or represents, neighborhood aesthetic.

Describe contribution:  This tree adds a visible quality to the street and the home. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Profiled in a publication or other media:**  Yes  Unknown

Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. **Attach documentation** if appropriate.

Describe coverage:  <http://www.sftrees.com/landmark-trees/> \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Prominent landscape feature:**  Yes  No

A striking and outstanding natural feature.

Describe, attach photo if possible: \_\_\_\_\_



