

Urban Forestry Council

Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Pursuant to [Public Works Code, Article 16, Section 810](#), the Urban Forestry Council developed these criteria for evaluating potential landmark trees in San Francisco. When evaluating trees, please consider a tree within the context of its site using the criteria below. Use the comment sections, as appropriate, to explain or support your evaluation.

Evaluator's name	Michael Sullivan
Date of evaluation	1/23/22
Start time of evaluation	9AM
End time of evaluation	9:20AM
Botanical (Latin) name	Sequoia sempervirns
Common name	Coast redwood
Street address	313 Scott Street

Cross streets

Rarity

Unusual species in San Francisco or other geographic regions.

- Rare
 Uncommon
 Common
 Other

Comments	Common tree in San Francisco
----------	------------------------------

Physical Attributes

Size: Notable size compared to other trees of the same species in San Francisco.

- Large Medium Small

Comments	A bit larger than most

Age: Significantly advanced age for the species.

- Yes No

Comments	Not advanced age for the species in habitat, but it's a relatively old specimen for San Francisco
----------	---

Distinguished form: Tree is an example of good form for its species, has majestic quality or otherwise unique structure.

- Yes No

Comments	Excellent structure
----------	---------------------

Tree condition: Consider overall tree health and structure, including hazard potential.

- Good to Excellent
 Fair to Poor
 Potential hazard

Comments	Excellent health and structure; no hazards observed. I believe the physical attributes of this tree support a recommendation.
----------	---

OVERALL CATEGORY RATING

Do the **physical attributes** of this tree support a recommendation for Landmark status?

- Yes Partially No
-

Historical Attributes

Historical association: Any relation to a historic or cultural building, site, street, person, event, etc.

- Yes None apparent

Comments	None apparent.	
----------	----------------	--

Profiled in a publication or other media for its historic value: Tree has received coverage in print, internet, media, etc. Attach documentation or provide links if appropriate.

- Yes Unknown

Comments	None. I don't believe that the historic attributes support a recommendation for landmark status
----------	---

OVERALL CATEGORY RATING

Do the **historic attributes** of this tree support a recommendation for Landmark status?

- Yes Partially No
-

Environmental Attributes

Prominent landscape feature: A striking and outstanding natural feature.

Yes No

Comments	yes
----------	-----

Low tree density: Tree exists in a neighborhood with very few trees.

Low Moderate High

Comments	No - lots of trees in the neighborhood
----------	--

Interdependent group of trees: This tree is an integral member of a group of trees and removing it may have an adverse impact on the adjacent trees.

Yes No

Comments	no
----------	----

Visible or accessible from public right-of-way: High visibility and/or accessibility.

Yes No

Comments	no
----------	----

High traffic area: Tree is in an area that has a large volume of vehicle, bike, or pedestrian traffic and has a potential traffic-calming effect.

Yes No

Comments	no
----------	----

Important wildlife habitat: Species has a known relationship with wildlife to which it provides food, shelter, nesting potential, etc.

Yes No

Comments	Yes, as a Bay Area native, I suppose so - although I don't know that redwoods existed in SF in pre-European times
----------	---

Erosion control: Tree prevents soil erosion.

Yes No

Comments	no
----------	----

Wind or sound barrier: Tree reduces wind speed or mitigates undesirable noise.

Yes No

Comments	No.
----------	-----

OVERALL CATEGORY RATING

Do the **environmental attributes** of this tree support a recommendation for Landmark status?

- Yes Partially No
-

Cultural Attributes

Neighborhood appreciation: Multiple indicators such as letters of support, petition(s), outdoor gatherings, celebrations adjacent or related to the tree, etc. Attach documentation.

- Yes None apparent

Comments	None that I'm aware of
----------	------------------------

Cultural appreciation: Tree has value to a local cultural or ethnic group(s).

- Yes None apparent

Comments	no
----------	----

Profiled in a publication or other media for its *cultural* value: Tree has received coverage in print, internet, media, etc. Attach documentation or provide links if appropriate.

- Yes Unknown

Comments	no
----------	----

OVERALL CATEGORY RATING

Do the **cultural attributes** of this tree support a recommendation for Landmark status?

- Yes Partially No
-

Additional comments:

