Resolution endorsing landmark tree status for the Canary Island Date Palm #1 (Phoenix canariensis) located at 2040-2060 Sutter Street, San Francisco, California 94115, Assessor's Block 0678, Lots 037-104.

WHEREAS, Public Works Code, Article 16, Section 810 charges the Urban Forestry Council to examine nominated landmark trees using criteria approved by the Board of Supervisors; and,

WHEREAS, The Canary Island Date Palm #1 (Phoenix canariensis) located at 2040-2060 Sutter Street, Assessor's Block 0678, Lots 037-104, fulfills the landmark tree criteria that includes:

The Canary Island Date Palm #1 is the smallest of the three trees, but is nonetheless a large and mature specimen of Phoenix canariensis. The palm is of excellent form. It exhibits several whorls of chlorotic fronds—as if having endured a period of deficiency or toxicity—but appears to be in good health, with current and recent growth of excellent color and condition. The physical and environmental aspects of the tree were thought to be excellent. While not particularly rare or interesting as an individual, the Committee thought this tree was an integral and important part of this group of trees at this location, and voted unanimously to recommend it for landmarking.

WHEREAS, The Canary Island Date Palm #1 (Phoenix canariensis) located at 2040-2060 Sutter Street, Assessor's Block 0678, Lots 037-104 provides social, environmental and economic benefits to the property, neighborhood and city.

WHEREAS, The Council finds that the Canary Island Date Palm #1 (Phoenix canariensis) is worthy of Landmark Tree status as it meets the Physical criteria in size, age,
distinguished form and condition, and the Environmental criteria as part of an interdependent
group of trees; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, The Urban Forestry Council recommends the Canary Island Date Palm
#1 (Phoenix canariensis) located at 2040-2060 Sutter Street, Assessor’s Block 0678, Lots
037-104 for landmark tree status to the Board of Supervisors.
I hereby certify that this Resolution was adopted at the Urban Forestry Council’s
Regular Meeting on December 11, 2009.

Monica Fish, Council Secretary

VOTE: Approved (9-0) (0 Absent) (2 Vacant)
Ayes: Chair Milne, Vice-Chair D’Agostino, Members Buck, Cohen, Hillan, LeBeau, Sherk,
Rodgers and Vargas
Noes: None
Absent: None
December 7, 2009

To the Urban Forestry Council:

The Landmark Tree Committee submits three trees to the Council for adoption as Landmark Trees. The trees are a Norfolk Island pine and two Canary Island date palms.

All three trees are located at 2040-2060 Sutter Street, in the interior courtyard of the condominium complex at that address. The location is a common-area garden surrounded on three sides by the residences, and visible from the East (Fillmore Street) side. The trees grow in close proximity to each other.

The trees were proposed for landmarking by the Sutter Park West Homeowner’s Association. Homeowner Heather Levin acted as the spokesperson for the group.

The trees are as follows:

1) *Araucaria heterophylla* (Norfolk Island pine)—This large specimen dominates the group, and is an exemplary representative of its type, both in size and form. The primary virtues of the tree are physical and environmental. The tremendous size and excellent form are noteworthy. The tree has the effect of anchoring, visually and culturally, the other trees and the entire courtyard garden. While not a rare tree in San Francisco, Norfolk Island pines of this stature and position are uncommon. The Committee voted unanimously to recommend this tree for landmarking.

2) *Phoenix canariensis* #1 (Canary Island date palm)—Smallest of the three trees, this tree is nonetheless a large and mature specimen of *Phoenix canariensis*. The palm is of excellent form. It exhibits several whorls of chlorotic fronds—as if having endured a period of deficiency or toxicity—but appears to be in good health, with current and recent growth of excellent color and condition. As with the *Araucaria*, the physical and environmental aspects of the tree were thought to be excellent. While not particularly rare or interesting as an individual, the Committee thought this tree was an integral and important part of this group of trees, and voted unanimously to recommend it for landmarking.

(continued)
3) *Phoenix canariensis* #2—A large and mature specimen, this individual has an interesting history and feature: The palm tipped considerably during bad weather in the 1980s, and had to be physically stabilized by the homeowner’s group. It has since resumed its upright growth in an apparently stable, self-sufficient condition. The result is a gentle sigmoid curve in the trunk, giving this palm a distinctive appearance. It is in all other regards healthy and of excellent form. As with the first palm, the Committee regarded this tree of superior physical and environmental value, and essential to the other trees and their effect on the space. The Committee recommended it unanimously for landmarking.

It is with pleasure that the Committee submits this report to the full Council requesting all three trees be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for landmarking.

Respectfully,

Malcolm Hillan, Chair  
Landmark Tree Committee  
Urban Forestry Council
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SAN FRANCISCO URBAN FORESTRY COUNCIL

Landmark Tree Nomination Form

Disclaimer: Any information you include on this form will be part of the public record. Anyone may request to see the information you submit for a landmark tree nomination. For more legal information, see the last page of this form.

Who can nominate a landmark tree?
- The Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, and Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board may nominate a tree.
- The head of a City department or agency may nominate a tree on property under their jurisdiction. City departments and agencies should conduct an internal approval process before nominating a tree.
- A property owner may nominate a tree on his or her property.
- A member of the public may ask an authorized nominator to nominate a tree.

Please note that a permit will be required for any future removal of a landmark tree.

Pursuant to Ordinance 0017-06 and Public Works Code 810, the Urban Forestry Council requests the following information.

I am one of the following authorized nominators
- Property owner
- Board of Supervisor member
- Head of a city department or agency
- Planning Commission member
- Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board member

Authorized nominator (Supervisor, Planning Commission, Landmarks Advisory Board, Head of City Department, Property Owner):

Name
Address
Phone (day)
Fax #
Email

I am an authorized nominator and I support this nomination.

Signature
Date

Member of the public who initiated nomination (if applicable):

Sutter Park West HOA

Name
Address
Phone (day)
Fax #
Email

I am the property owner and I grant permission for city staff to evaluate the nominated tree on the property with advance notice.

Signature
Date

Board of Directors
Homeowner Spokesperson

Heather Levin
hlevin24@yahoo.com
The Urban Forestry Council will use the following criteria to evaluate each potential landmark tree. If you need more space to describe the tree, please attach additional sheets.

**TREE DESCRIPTION**

Tree name (species and common name): *Phoenix Canariensis* Canary Island Palm

Number of trees: one of two

Street address: Sutter Park West - 2040/2060 Sutter Street
San Francisco, Ca 94115

Location of Tree:
- [ ] Front yard
- [x] Rear yard
- [ ] Side yard
- [ ] Corner-side yard
- [ ] Public right-of-way
- [ ] Public lands
- [ ] Not sure
- [ ] Other:

If the tree which extends beyond multiple properties:
- Which part of the tree does so?
  - [ ] Trunk
  - [x] Canopy

Where in the neighboring area?
- [ ] Front yard
- [x] Rear yard
- [ ] Side yard
- [ ] Corner-side yard

GPS units (OPTIONAL): ______________

Height ___________ feet

Average canopy width ___________ feet
Distance from one edge to opposite edge of tree canopy

Circumference at chest level ___________ inches

Circumference at ground level ___________ inches
Distance around trunk on the ground where the trunk meets the soil.
Rarity

Rarity: __ Rare  ✔ Uncommon  ____ Common  ____ Other
Unusual species in San Francisco or other geographic regions.

Comment: 


Physical

Size:  ✔ Large  ____ Medium  ____ Small
Notable size compared to other trees of the same species in San Francisco.

Comment: 


Age: Significantly advanced age for the species.

Comment: Possible circa 1915?


Distinguished form:  ✔ Yes  ____ No
Tree is an example of good form for its species, has a majestic quality or otherwise unique structure.

Describe: 


Tree condition:  ✔ Good  ____ Poor  ____ Hazard
Consider overall tree health and structure, and whether or not tree poses a hazard

Describe: 


Historical

Historical Association:  ____ Yes  ✔ None apparent
Related to a historic or cultural building, site, street, person, event, etc.

Describe nature of appreciation: 


Profiled in a publication or other media:  ____ Yes  ✔ Unknown
Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. Attach documentation if appropriate.
Describe coverage: 
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Environmental

Prominent landscape feature:  ☑ Yes  ☐ No
A striking and outstanding natural feature.
Describe, attach photo if possible:

Low tree density:  ☑ Low  ☐ Moderate  ☐ High
Tree exists in a neighborhood with very few trees.
Describe:

Interdependent group of trees:  ☑ Yes  ☐ No
This tree is an integral member of a group of trees and removing it may have an adverse impact on adjacent trees.
Describe:  *very close to other canary palm and Norfolk pine*.

Visible or Accessible from public right-of-way:  ☑ Yes  ☐ No
High visibility and/or accessibility from public property.
Describe:  *Fillmore Street sidewalk from side gate*.

High traffic area:  ☑ Yes  ☐ No
Tree is located in an area that has a high volume of vehicle, pedestrian or bike traffic and has a potential traffic calming effect.
Describe:

Important wildlife habitat:  ☐ Yes  ☑ No
Species has a known relationship with a particular local wildlife species or it provides food, shelter, or nesting to specific known wildlife individuals.

Erosion control:  ☐ Yes  ☑ No
Tree prevents soil erosion.
Describe:

Wind or sound barrier:  ☑ Yes  ☐ No
Tree reduces wind speed or mitigates undesirable noise.
Describe:
Cultural

Neighborhood appreciation:  
Yes  
None apparent

Multiple indicators such as letters of support, petition, outdoor gatherings, celebrations adjacent or related to tree, etc. Attach documentation:

Describe:

Cultural appreciation:  
Yes  
None apparent

Particular value to certain cultural or ethnic groups in the city.

Describe nature of appreciation:

Planting contributes to neighborhood character:  
Yes  
No

Tree contributes significantly to, or represents, neighborhood aesthetic.

Describe contribution:

Profiled in a publication or other media:  
Yes  
Unknown

Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. Attach documentation if appropriate.

Describe coverage:

Prominent landscape feature:  
Yes  
No

A striking and outstanding natural feature.

Describe, attach photo if possible: Large crown

Additional comments


Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Pursuant to Ordinance 0017-06 and Public Works Code Section 810, the UFC has developed these criteria for evaluating potential landmark trees in San Francisco. When evaluating or considering potential landmark trees, please consider the context of the tree within its site location. For example, a tree on PUC land may not have the same community importance that a street or park tree would. Use comment sections, as appropriate, to explain or support evaluation. Attach sheets if more space is needed.

Evaluator’s name: Malcolm Hillan
Date of evaluation: 10.19.09
Scientific name: Phoenix canariensis
Common name: Canary Island Date Palm
Street address: 2040-2060 Sutter St.
Cross streets: Fillmore + Steiner

Rarity: __Yes __Partially √ No

Rarity: __Rare __Uncommon √ Common __Other
Unusual species in San Francisco or other geographic regions.
Comment: Recognized as a tree often used/seen in San Francisco

Physical Attributes: √ Yes __Partially __No

Size: √ Large __Medium __Small
Notable size compared to other trees of the same species in San Francisco.
Comment: Big mature palm

Age: √ Yes __No
Significantly advanced age for the species.
Comment: Old established veteran tree

Distinguished form: √ Yes __No
Tree is an example of good form for its species, has a majestic quality or otherwise unique structure.
Describe: Form makes it dominating and "majestic".
Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Tree condition: ✓ Good    Poor    □ Hazard
Consider overall tree health and structure, and whether or not tree poses a hazard
Describe: TREE APPEARS TO HAVE SUFFERED A PERIOD OF MAL-NOURISHMENT BUT LOOKS TO BE IN GOOD HEALTH CURRENTLY.

Historical  Yes   □ Partially   ✓ No
Historical Association: Yes   ✓ None apparent
Related to a historic or cultural building, site, street, person, event, etc.
Describe nature of appreciation:

Professed in a publication or other media: Yes   ✓ Unknown
Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. Attach documentation if appropriate.
Describe coverage:

Environmental  ✓ Yes   Partially   □ No
Prominent landscape feature: ✓ Yes   □ No
A striking and outstanding natural feature.
Describe, attach photo if possible: PART OF AN UNUSUAL CLUSTER OF VERY LARGE TREES

Low tree density:  Low    ✓ Moderate    □ High
Tree exists in a neighborhood with very few trees.
Describe: VERY FEW TREES OF THIS MATURE IN THE AREA

Interdependent group of trees: ✓ Yes   □ No
This tree in an integral member of a group of trees and removing it may have an adverse impact on adjacent trees.
Describe: POSSIBLY OF IMPORTANCE TO THE OTHER TWO TREES ADJACENT.

Visible or Accessible from public right-of-way: ✓ Yes   □ No
High visibility and/or accessibility from public property.
Describe: VISIBLE FROM END OF "COURTYARD" AREA. MODERATE VISIBILITY TO PUBLIC. HIGH VISIBILITY TO RESIDENTS SURROUNDING COURTYARD (BASILICA A CITY BLOCK?)
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Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

High traffic area:  ❑ Yes  ✓ No
Tree is located in an area that has a high volume of vehicle, pedestrian or bike traffic and has a potential traffic calming effect.
Describe: √ TREE IS IN A PROTECTED COURTYARD

Important wildlife habitat:  ❑ Yes  ✓ No
Species has a known relationship with a particular local wildlife species or it provides food, shelter, or nesting to specific known wildlife individuals.
None apparent

Erosion control:  ❑ Yes  ✓ No
Tree prevents soil erosion.
Describe: 

Wind or sound barrier:  ❑ Yes  ✓ No
Tree reduces wind speed or mitigates undesirable noise.
Describe:

Cultural  ≈ Yes  ✓ Partially  ≈ No

Neighborhood appreciation:  ❑ Yes  ✓ None apparent
Multiple indicators such as letters of support, petition, outdoor gatherings, celebrations adjacent or related to tree, etc. Attach documentation:
Describe:  ❑ ONLY AS DESCRIBED BY APPLICANT ... NEIGHBOR APPRECIATION NOT DOCUMENTED

Cultural appreciation:
Particular value to certain cultural or ethnic groups in the city.
Describe nature of appreciation:

Planting contributes to neighborhood character:  ✓ Yes  ≈ No
Tree contributes significantly to, or represents, neighborhood aesthetic.
Describe contribution:  ❑ TREE SETS THE TONE FOR COURTYARD GARDEN, ALONG WITH ADJACENT TREES: UNIQUE GROUP
Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Profiled in a publication or other media:  

Yes  Unknown

Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. Attach documentation if appropriate.
Describe coverage:

Prominent landscape feature:  Yes  No

A striking and outstanding natural feature.
Describe, attach photo if possible:

ESPECIALLY VALUABLE AS PART OF THIS UNUSUAL TRIO OF VETERAN TREE

Additional comments

BY ITSELF, THIS PINE MAY NOT BE AS NOTEWORTHY; BUT CONSIDERING ITS GROWING AND ITS LARGE SIZE AND MATURITY, IT IS WORTHY OF LANDMARK STATUS.
Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Pursuant to Ordinance 0017-06 and Public Works Code Section 810, the UFC has developed these criteria for evaluating potential landmark trees in San Francisco. When evaluating or considering potential landmark trees, please consider the context of the tree within its site location. For example, a tree on PUC land may not have the same community importance that a street or park tree would. Use comment sections, as appropriate, to explain or support evaluation. Attach sheets if more space is needed.

Evaluator’s name: __ Kelaine Vargas 
Date of evaluation: __ Oct. 19, 2009 
Scientific name: __ Phoenix canariensis (#1: crooked) 
Common name: Canary Island Date palm 
Street address: __ Courtyard at 2040 Sutter St. 
Cross streets: 

Rarity ___ Yes ___ Partially __X__ No 
Rarity: ___ Rare ___ Uncommon ___ X__ Common ___ Other 
Unusual species in San Francisco or other geographic regions. 
Comment: 

Physical Attributes ___ Yes ___ Partially ___ No 
Size: ___ Large ___ X__ Medium ___ Small 
Notable size compared to other trees of the same species in San Francisco. 
Comment: 

Age: ___ Yes ___ No 
Significantly advanced age for the species. 
Comment: __ Unknown. 

Distinguished form: ___ Yes ___ X__ No 
Tree is an example of good form for its species, has a majestic quality or otherwise unique structure. 
Describe: __ This tree was damaged approximately 20 years ago in a wind storm and has grown a crook in its trunk. 
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Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Tree condition: _ Good ___ Poor ___ Hazard
Consider overall tree health and structure, and whether or not tree poses a hazard
Describe: __________________________________________

Historical ___ Yes ___ Partially ___ No

Historical Association: ___ Yes ___ X__ None apparent
Related to a historic or cultural building, site, street, person, event, etc.
Describe nature of appreciation: __________________________________________

Profiled in a publication or other media: ___ Yes ___ X__ Unknown
Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. Attach documentation if appropriate.
Describe coverage: __________________________________________

Environmental ___ Yes ___ Partially ___ No

Prominent landscape feature: ___ Yes ___ X__ No
A striking and outstanding natural feature.
Describe, attach photo if possible: __________________________________________

Low tree density: ___ Low ___ X__ Moderate ___ High
Tree exists in a neighborhood with very few trees.
Describe: __________________________________________

Interdependent group of trees: ___ Yes ___ X__ No
This tree an integral member of a group of trees and removing it may have an adverse impact on adjacent trees.
Describe: __________________________________________

Visible or Accessible from public right-of-way: ___ Yes ___ X__ No
High visibility and/or accessibility from public property.
Describe: __________________________________________
Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

High traffic area: ___Yes ___X_No
Tree is located in an area that has a high volume of vehicle, pedestrian or bike traffic and has a potential traffic calming effect.
Describe: ____________________________________________

Important wildlife habitat: ___Yes ___X_No
Species has a known relationship with a particular local wildlife species or it provides food, shelter, or nesting to specific known wildlife individuals.

Erosion control: ___Yes ___X_No
Tree prevents soil erosion.
Describe: ____________________________________________

Wind or sound barrier: ___Yes ___X_No
Tree reduces wind speed or mitigates undesirable noise.
Describe: ____________________________________________

Cultural ___Yes ___ Partially ___X_No

Neighborhood appreciation: ___Yes ___X_None apparent
Multiple indicators such as letters of support, petition, outdoor gatherings, celebrations adjacent or related to tree, etc. Attach documentation:
Describe: ____________________________________________

Cultural appreciation: ___Yes ___X_None apparent
Particular value to certain cultural or ethnic groups in the city.
Describe nature of appreciation: ____________________________

Planting contributes to neighborhood character: ___Yes ___X_No
Tree contributes significantly to, or represents, neighborhood aesthetic.
Describe contribution: ____________________________________
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Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Profiled in a publication or other media:  Yes  X  Unknown
Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. Attach documentation if appropriate.
Describe coverage: ____________________________

Prominent landscape feature:  Yes  X  No
A striking and outstanding natural feature.
Describe, attach photo if possible: ____________________________

Additional comments
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Pursuant to Ordinance 0017-06 and Public Works Code Section 810, the UFC has developed these criteria for evaluating potential landmark trees in San Francisco. When evaluating or considering potential landmark trees, please consider the context of the tree within its site location. For example, a tree on PUC land may not have the same community importance that a street or park tree would. Use comment sections, as appropriate, to explain or support evaluation. Attach sheets if more space is needed.

Evaluator's name: Mei Ling Hui
Date of evaluation: 10/19/09
Scientific name: Phoenix canariensis
Common name: Canary Island Date Palm #1
Street address: 2040 Sutter Street
Cross streets: Steiner Street

**Rarity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Partially</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Rarity:**
- Rare
- Uncommon
- **Common**
- Other

Unusual species in San Francisco or other geographic regions.
Comment: Extremely common tree in San Francisco

**Physical Attributes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Partially</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Size:**
- **Large**
- Medium
- Small

Notable size compared to other trees of the same species in San Francisco.

Comment: Tree is mature, but not overly large or impressive in size.

**Age:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Significantly advanced age for the species.
Comment: Tree is mature.

**Distinguished form:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Tree is an example of good form for its species, has a majestic quality or otherwise unique structure.
Describe: While the tree is mature and had been well cleaned and cared for, it's canopy is not especially full or drooping.

**Tree condition:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Hazard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Consider overall tree health and structure, and whether or not tree poses a hazard
Describe: Roughly half of the fronds, the older frond, are chlorotic. This may be due to shading from the Norfolk Island Pine or other unknowns. It was reported to me by an HOA member that the HOA's arborist is addressing this issue.
Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

**Historical**

- Yes
- Partially
- No

**Historical Association:**

- Yes
- None apparent

Related to a historic or cultural building, site, street, person, event, etc.

Describe nature of appreciation: It was reported to me that a previous land owner, before the condos were built and the area was row houses, may have information on when the trees were planted and by whom, though these individuals were unreachable.

**Profiled in a publication or other media:**

- Yes
- Unknown

Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. **Attach documentation** if appropriate.

**Environmental**

- Yes
- Partially
- No

**Prominent landscape feature:**

- Yes
- No

A striking and outstanding natural feature.

**Low tree density:**

- Low
- Moderate
- High

Tree exists in a neighborhood with very few trees.

**Interdependent group of trees:**

- Yes
- No

This tree in an integral member of a group of trees and removing it may have an adverse impact on adjacent trees.

Describe: This tree may have supported the Norfolk Island Pine by providing wind shelter while the NIP was growing. At this time, it appears that the NIP may be negatively affecting the palm.

**Visible or Accessible from public right-of-way:**

- Yes
- No

High visibility and/or accessibility from public property.

**High traffic area:**

- Yes
- No

Tree is located in an area that has a high volume of vehicle, pedestrian or bike traffic and has a potential traffic calming effect.

**Important wildlife habitat:**

- Yes
- No

Species has a known relationship with a particular local wildlife species or it provides food, shelter, or nesting to specific known wildlife individuals.

**Erosion control:**

- Yes
- No

Tree prevents soil erosion.

**Wind or sound barrier:**

- Yes
- No

Tree reduces wind speed or mitigates undesirable noise.

Describe: Tree may provide some wind shelter to the neighboring building, however, the buildings are taller than the trees and this is not likely. Likewise, the trees probably are not able to provide much it the way of sound barriers to the surrounding buildings.
Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

**Cultural**  Yes  Partially  No

**Neighborhood appreciation:**  Yes  None apparent
Multiple indicators such as letters of support, petition, outdoor gatherings, celebrations adjacent or related to tree, etc. Attach documentation:
Describe: The trees are located in the courtyard of a large building complex. To nominate this tree, the HOA’s Board of Directors met to discuss the merits of the trees and agreed to nominated them. This shows community support for this process.

**Cultural appreciation:**  Yes  None apparent
Particular value to certain cultural or ethnic groups in the city.

**Planting contributes to neighborhood character:**  Yes  No
Tree contributes significantly to, or represents, neighborhood aesthetic.

**Profiled in a publication or other media:**  Yes  Unknown
Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. Attach documentation if appropriate.

**Prominent landscape feature:**  Yes  No
A striking and outstanding natural feature.
Describe, attach photo if possible: Tree is not very prominent in the landscape – from many angles, the view of this tree is partially or mostly obscured by the Norfolk Pine and other Date Palm.

**Additional comments**
It’s a nice looking tree, albeit on the smaller side for a mature specimen of this species. The tree is being cared for and those who interact with it are highly concerned with it and very attached to it.

This form is for tree #1 located on the Northern edge of the courtyard and property line, closer to the Norfolk Island Pine; as shown in the following diagram: